THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

LATTIME CONSTRUCTION and -3 -3 HOME BUILDING, INC.,	4 9 31
PLAINTIFF, VS.)) CASE NO.: 1:05-cv-1062-MEF
CENTURYTEL SERVICE GROUP, L. L. C., and THE BERRY COMPANY,)))
DEFENDANTS.)

REQUESTED JURY INSTRUCTIONS BY PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff, Rick Lattime, moves the Court to instruct the jury herein as follows:

1. The Court charges you the jury, that a contract is a agreement between two or more persons or parties based upon a valuable consideration to do or refrain from doing a particular lawful act.

APJI 10.01	
GIVEN	
REFUSED	
	DISTRICT JUDGE

- 2. The Court charges you the jury, that the elements of a valid contract are:
 - 1. Agreement between two or more competent parties.
 - 2. Lawful object.
 - 3. Valuable consideration.
 - Assent of the parties in the same sense that is a "meeting of the 4. minds."

APJI 10.02

McDonald Construction Co. vs. V. Tutt. 209 S. Supp. 451962 SD AL.

5. The Court charges you the jury, that the Plaintiff in this action sues the Defendants for breach of contract. The elements of an action for breach of contract are as follows:

DISTRICT JUDGE

REFUSED_____

1	Evictorico	o.f	a contract	hotwoon	Disintiff		Dafamalanta
⊥.	EXISTELLE	ΟI	a contract	between	Plamun	anu	Defendants.

- 2.
- 3.
- Performance by the Plaintiff.
 Defendants failure to perform.
 Resulting damage to the Plaintiff. 4.

APJI 10.12
GIVEN
REFUSED DISTRICT JUDGE
6. The Court charges you the jury, that damages for a breach of a
contract is that sum which would place the damaged party in the same
condition it would have occupied if the contract had not been breached.
APJI 10.17
GIVEN
REFUSED DISTRICT JUDGE
7. The Court charges you the jury, that if the Defendants in this case
have partially performed the contract with Plaintiff but have not performed al
of the important parts of the contract and if the failure to perform the balance
of the contract by the Defendants is not excused, the Defendants cannot
recover for the partial performance of the contract with Plaintiffs on their
Counterclaim in this case.
APJI 10.20
GIVEN
REFUSED DISTRICT JUDGE

8. The Court charges you the jury, that if you should find from the preponderance of the evidence in this case that Plaintiff is entitled to a verdict, the law provides that Plaintiff is to be fairly compensated for all damages, if any, to Plaintiff's business proximately caused by Defendant's conduct.

In arriving at the amount of the award you should include any damages suffered by Plaintiff because of lost profits; that is to say profits that Plaintiff would have made, but for the unlawful conduct of Defendants.

If you should find from a preponderance of the evidence in this case that damage to Plaintiff's business such as a loss in the profits was proximately caused by the Defendant's illegal conduct complained of, then the circumstances that the precise amount of Plaintiff's damages may be difficult to ascertain should not affect Plaintiff's recovery, particularly if Defendants wrong doings have caused a difficulty in determining the precise amount.

On the other hand, Plaintiff is not to be awarded purely speculative damages. An allowance for lost profits may be included in the damages awarded only when there is some reasonable basis in the evidence in determining the Plaintiff has, in fact, suffered a loss of profits, even though the amount of such loss may be difficult to ascertain.

In arriving at the amount of any loss of profits sustained by the Plaintiff, you are entitled to consider any past earnings of Plaintiff in the business in question as well as any other evidence in the case bearing upon the issue. §129.30, Federal Jury Practice and Instructions, 5th Edition, page 474, 475.

Cashman v. Allied Products Corp., 761 F. 2nd 1252-1253 (8 Cir. 1985).
GIVEN
REFUSED
DISTRICT JUDGE
9. The Court charges you the jury, that lost profits must be of a nature
and direct result of the breach and must be capable of ascertainment with
reasonable certainty, however, if exact proof of damage is not available, all that
is required is sufficient evidence to afford a reasonable basis for estimating the
loss.
Alabama Law of Damages §17-1, pages 208-209, 25 C.J.S. Damages § 43.
GIVEN
REFUSED
DISTRICT JUDGE
10. The Court charges you the jury, that negligence is the failure to
discharge or perform a legal duty owed to the other party.
APJI 28.00
GIVEN
REFUSED
DISTRICT JUDGE

11. The Court charges you the jury, that the duty owed by the Defendants to the Plaintiff was to exercise reasonable care not to damage the Plaintiff; that is to exercise such care as a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under the same or similar circumstances.

14. The Court charges you the jury, that the purpose of awarding compensatory damages is to fairly and reasonably compensate the damaged

DISTRICT JUDGE

REFUSED_____

party for the loss or damages sustained. Compensatory damages are intended as money compensation to the party wronged to compensate it for damages which have been inflicted upon it as a proximate result of the wrong complained.

APJI 11.02	
GIVEN	
REFUSED	
	DISTRICT JUDGE

15. The Court charges you the jury, that compensatory or actual damages are allowed and should be awarded where the Plaintiff reasonably satisfies the jury from the evidence that Plaintiff has been damaged as a proximate result of an act of negligence or wantonness on the part of the Defendants or where the Plaintiff reasonably satisfies the jury from the evidence that the Plaintiff has been negligently or wantonly damaged by the Defendants.

APJI 11.01	
GIVEN	
REFUSED	
	DISTRICT JUDGE

16. The Court charges you the jury, that the Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for the damages it suffered if they were negligent and their negligence proximately caused the Plaintiff's injuries. If you find that the Defendants were negligent such negligence may be deemed the proximate cause of Plaintiff's

damages even though the Defendants could not have foreseen the precise

damages suffered by the Plaintiff.

GIVEN ______

REFUSED______

DISTRICT JUDGE

17. The Court charges you the jury, that wantonness is a conscious doing of some act or omission of some duty under knowledge of existing conditions and conscious act from the doing of such act or omission of such duty damages would likely or probably result. Before a party can be said to be guilty of wanton conduct it must be shown that with reckless indifference to the consequences they either consciously or intentionally did some wrongful act or consciously omitted some known duty which produced the damages.

APJI 29.00	
GIVEN	
REFUSED	
	DISTRICT JUDGE

18. The Court charges you the jury, that the purpose of awarding punitive damages is to allow money recovered to the Plaintiff by way of punishment to the Defendants and for the added purpose of protecting the public by deterring the Defendants and others from doing such wrong in the future. The imposition of punitive damages is entirely discretionary with the jury. Should you award punitive damages, in fixing the amount, you must take into consideration the character and degree of the wrong as shown by the

21. The Court charges you the jury, that implied authority of an agent is authority to do whatever acts or use whatever means are reasonably necessary and proper to the accomplishment of the purposes for which the agency is created, so that in the conduct of the principal's business, an agent

has implied authority to do that which the nature	of the business would demand
in its due and regular course.	
APJI 3.03	
GIVEN	
REFUSED	DISTRICT JUDGE
22. The Court charges you the jury, that	apparent authority, for which
a principal is responsible to a third-party for	the act of his agent, is that
authority which arising when the principal, by	his acts, words, or conduct,
reasonably interpreted, causes such third- part	y to believe that authority has
been given to an agent to act in his behalf a	and such authority cannot be
established solely by the acts of the agent.	
APJI 3.04	
GIVEN	
REFUSED	DISTRICT JUDGE
23. The Court charges you the jury, that a	a principal is liable to others for
the negligent acts or omissions of his agent,	done within the scope of his
employment and within the line of his duties.	
APJI 3.06.	
GIVEN	
REFUSED	

24. The Court charges you the jury, that to establish a third-party

DISTRICT JUDGE

beneficiary of a contract you must establish that the contracting parties intended, upon execution of the contract, to bestow a direct benefit as opposed to an incidental benefit upon the third-party.

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
George Du	nning vs. New England Life Insurance Co. et al., 890 So. 2d 92;
2003 Ala. l	EXIS 360.
GIVEN _	
REFUSED_	DISTRICT JUDGE
25.	The Court charges you the jury, that to recover under a third-party
beneficiary	theory, the complainant must show:
1.	That the contracting party intended, at the time the contract was created, to bestow a direct benefit upon a third-party;
2.	That the complainant was the intended beneficiary of the contract;
3.	That the contract was breached.
	cke vs. Ozark Board of Education, 910 So., 2d 1247 2005 Ala LEXIS R. Cas. (BNA) 1617.
GIVEN _	
REFUSED_	 DISTRICT JUDGE
26	The Court charges you the jury, that if you believe the evidence in
this case y	ou must find for the Plaintiff.
GIVEN _	
REFUSED_	

Dated this the <u>7</u> day of <u>Jebrucy</u>, 2007.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing upon the Honorable Craig A. Alexander, Rumberger, Kirk, & Caldwell, Attorneys at Law, Lakeshore Park Plaza, Suite 125, 2204 Lakeshore Drive, Birmingham, Alabama 35209 by placing a copy of same properly addressed and postage prepaid in the U.S. mail.

Dated this the 7 day of Sel-

JOHN E. BYRD, Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff

JOHN E. BYRD (BYR 005)

P.O. Box 536

Dothan, Alabama 36302

(334) 794-0759

(334) 792-0163 Fax